

**FILED**  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

OCT 12 2012

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION

DAVID J. MALAND, CLERK  
BY Ba  
DEPUTY

DDR HOLDINGS, LLC,  
*Plaintiff,*

v.

DIGITAL RIVER, INC., NATIONAL  
LEISURE GROUP, INC. and WORLD  
TRAVEL HOLDINGS, INC.  
*Defendants.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:06-cv-42-JRG

**VERDICT FORM**

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge. As used herein, "DDR" means DDR Holdings, LLC. As used herein, "Digital River" means Digital River, Inc. As used herein, "NLG/WTH" means National Leisure Group, Inc. and World Travel Holdings, Inc.

**Question No. 1:**

Did DDR prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the following listed Defendants directly infringed the following asserted claims of each of the patents in suit? **Answer YES or NO.**

**Digital River**'572 Patent ClaimsClaim 13: YESClaim 17: YESClaim 20: YES**NLG/WTH**'572 Patent ClaimsClaim 13: YESClaim 17: YESClaim 20: YES'399 Patent ClaimsClaim 1: YESClaim 3: YESClaim 19: YES

**Question No. 2:**

Did DDR prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the following listed Defendants induced infringement of the following asserted claims of the patents in suit? **Answer YES or NO.**

**Digital River****NLG/WTH**'572 Patent Claims'572 Patent ClaimsClaim 17: NoClaim 17: No**Question No. 3:**

ANSWER QUESTION 3 IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" FOR ANY OF THE CLAIMS LISTED IN QUESTIONS 1 OR 2. OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER QUESTION 3.

If you have found any of the claims infringed, did DDR prove by clear and convincing evidence that the Defendants' infringement was willful? **Answer YES or NO.**

**Digital River****NLG/WTH**'572 Patent: No'572 Patent: No'399 Patent: No**Question No. 4:**

Do you find that Defendants have proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims are invalid as being anticipated or obvious? **Answer YES or NO for each invalidity theory.**

	Anticipation	Obviousness
'572 Patent, Claim 13	No	No
'572 Patent, Claim 17	No	No
'572 Patent, Claim 20	No	No

Question No. 5:

ANSWER QUESTION 5 IF YOU HAVE FOUND AT LEAST ONE CLAIM LISTED IN QUESTIONS 1 OR 2 INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID. OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER QUESTION 5.

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate DDR for the below Defendants' respective infringement through the time of trial? **Only award damages for those claims you find both infringed and not invalid.**

Digital River \$ 750,000.00

NLG/WTH \$ 750,000.00

Signed this 12th day of October, 2012.